# 2019 March Moderation - Report



#### Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:

AM or PM session?

Which AM Meeting is this report for?

Moderation Leader Name

Moderation Leader Email

Minute Keeper

Minute Keeper Email Statewide

AM

Technologies - Technical Graphics Level 3

Kaleb Smith

kaleb.smith@education.tas.gov.au

Kaleb Smith

kaleb.smith@education.tas.gov.au

#### **Attendance**

Please enter the name and school for all attendees. This can be copied and pasted from the registration list sent to the Moderation Leader.

Apologies/absence s - please enter the names of teachers and their schools who appeared on the moderation leaders list who did not attend the meeting.

Kaleb Smith Claremont College Phil Goss Launceston College Anna Broughton Oakwood School

Janice Fahey Rosny College







### Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample I - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Sample I - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Sample I - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Sample I - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Sample I -Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Sample I - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Criterion 7 = Overall

C

Evidence of all areas of the design process were apparent except for the absence of a reference list (Crit.8). Also, in text referencing of others works did not follow correct referencing conventions and methodologies. The Brief lacked academic rigour and had elements of a discussion that had its place more in the Evaluation section. The project lacked flow from the brief through to design outcome and any discussion or analysis of the design principals used.

The inclusion of a reference list and the appropriate use referencing methodologies and conventions. More analysis of chosen design options and a flow through into the design solution.

Group agreed to award a C rating overall. However, it was felt that this was a very low standard for a level 3 folio and the failure to include the referencing may be seen as failing the design process.

Ensure that several 'Dead lines' are created to assess student work and make sure they are submitting a fully complete folio at the end.





### Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2

В

Sample 2 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 7 = Overall

Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Sample 2 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Sample 2 -Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Sample 2 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

A good solid Brief that flows into research and discussion around precedents and how they will effect the final design. A good solution consistent with the design brief.

More conceptual Sketching to develop ideas and how the actual structure of the project is formed. More depth into the technical aspects of the project. Strengthening of research techniques and the development of structured Reference lists. Better identification and acknowledgement of the words and images of others.

B overall with full consensus. Student had strong awards for elements 1-4, then received C ratings for elements 5-8

A real focus around research methodologies, referencing and acknowledging the words and images of others. More in depth research into the technical aspects of the project.





## Planning for September Moderation 2019 - Statewide Samples

For all courses please nominate the criteria and elements (if desired) for moderation. Criterion 2, Element 1 and 2. Criterion 3, Element 3 and 4

State the name of the person who will be providing the samples for September moderation. Phil Goss/Kaleb Smith

Email address of the person providing the samples for September moderation philip.goss@education.tas.gov.au; kaleb.smith@education.tas.gov.au

**Sharing Resources** 

Course Support



