# 2019 March Moderation - Report



# Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:

AM or PM session?

Which AM Meeting is this report for?

Moderation Leader Name

Moderation Leader Email

Minute Keeper

Minute Keeper Email South

AM

Preliminary to Level I Courses

Louise Rickwood

Louise.rickwood@education.tas.gov.au

Tania Beattie

tania.beattie@education.tas.gov.au

#### **Attendance**

Please enter the name and school for all attendees. This can be copied and pasted from the registration list sent to the Moderation Leader.

Tania Beattie Claremont College
Anna Branach Claremont College
Jan Butler Hobart College
Gillian Cannell Tasmanian e-school
Maxwell Cross Claremont College
Russell Finster Jordan River Learning Federation

Kim Holm Tasmanian e-school
Mark Kingsley New Norfolk High School
Chris Loch Jordan River Learning Federation
Peta-Maree Revell-Cook Claremont College

Louise Rickwood Southern Support School

Sandra Shepperd Rosny College Patrick Sullivan Claremont College Michael tame Rosny College Sharon Waldron Tasmanian eSchool David Webster Rosny College Jessica Wilson Tasmanian e-school Mel Zotsch Claremont College Andrew Short Rosny College Michelle Chen **RSST DOE** 

Apologies/absence s - please enter the names of teachers and their schools who appeared on the N/A





moderation leaders list who did not attend the meeting.

## Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample I - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion I = Overall Criterion 2 = Overall

Sample I - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

results for this sample were quite scattered with a range of responses from Stage I to Stage 3 when looking at statewide data chart.

Sample I - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Evidence showed different stages - hard to put students into one box as we only know what is in front of us and that is what we can assess.

The task: is it familiar, what context, any adjustments?

Sample I - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Statewide collated data on this was quite spread. No clarity on stage, perhaps the assessment task needed to be clearer with more of a lesson plan provided.

Sample I -Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable. Needed to know more about assessment task and how it was carried out; process - keep this in mind when providing moderation samples in the future.

Sample I - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Consensus was not possible for the reasons already stated.

The assessment task needs to be clear and specific. It was stated what the student was asked to do, did the student choose the photos or the teacher? Or was it the writing that was being assessed?

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2





Sample 2 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 3 = Overall

Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

The majority of submissions statewide showed a consensus for Stage I

Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

It was clear the learner required high levels of adjustments and was working towards looking at shape attributes. The photo and attached annotation about learning was clear.

Sample 2 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Group conceded that student wasn't quite at level of recognising shape attributes but the task was working towards that. Evidence of attributes of shapes being responded to was needed to attain a C rating at that stage.

Sample 2 -Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Stage I - strongest assessment C3 E1.

Stage 2 - 3 participants identified C3 E3 - recognising 2D shapes. May need to look at criteria for sample 2 [Maths] to ensure that the critical element for students is recognised/identified.

Sample 2 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Group felt that the level of adjustment i.e. eye gaze should have been kept out of assessment and included only in the annotated evidence. Work on the attributes of shapes not just shapes in general. i.e. the circle has curved edges etc.

## Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 3

Sample 3 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion | Element | Elem Criterion 2 Element 1, Element 3, Element 4 Criterion 3 Overall, Element 5, Element 7

Sample 3 - What rating (or ratings) has the group

C at Stage 3 English







assigned this sample?

Sample 3 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Sample 3 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Sample 3 -Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Sample 3 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Assessed within students piece of writing/responses

See more evidence of Stage 4 criterion standards - demonstrated across sample of writing, and independent re-write by student without assistance.

Group agreed that the sample is a C rating at Stage 3 English.

Build up ability of students to write sentences - simple and complex, independently. Clarifying questions to ask when designing task: what am I assessing? and what is the student learning?

### Planning for September Moderation 2019 - Statewide Samples

For all courses please nominate the criteria and elements (if desired) for moderation.

I have a checklist from participants that shows the subjects and criteria they will be assessing

## **Sharing Resources**

Please record any links to or details of resources that were shared, or describe any assessment strategies that were discussed. There was a discussion on the use of photos and or videos as valuable evidence ONLY if dated and accompanied by thorough annotation by teacher. This method is valuable especially at the lower stages where you are capturing reactions and recognitions.

There was also discussion that assessment tasks needed to be very clear and link to criteria and elements when assessing.





## Course Support

Please provide details of any future focus and ways forward you would like Curriculum Services to consider in relation to this course:

It was great to these courses moderated in a specific and targeted way for the first time. Moving forward this is a very valuable means for teachers to collaborate and form shared understandings of the learning stages and what this looks like in a real way.

It is desirable that moderation be made more accessible to 11/12 extension schools who do not have a student free day on this day.



