

2018 September Moderation - Report



Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:	South
AM or PM session?	AM
Which AM Meeting is this report for?	Technologies - Information Systems and Digital Technologies Level 3
Moderation Leader Name	Martin Chambers
Moderation Leader Email	mch@hutchins.tas.edu.au
Minute Keeper	Lou Christie
Minute Keeper Email	lou.christie@education.tas.gov.au

Attendance

Please enter the name and school for all attendees. This can be copied and pasted from the registration list sent to the Moderation Leader.	Martin Chambers - The Hutchins School David Johnson - Guilford Young College Neil Walker - Claremont College Lou Christie - Hobart College
Apologies/absences - please enter the names of teachers and their schools who appeared on the moderation leaders list who did not attend the	None

meeting.

Annotated Sample

Please specify which moderated sample has been selected as being the most appropriate to be the annotated sample, should the meeting choose to do so.

Sample 1

Please list the criteria (and elements if specified) being moderated for this sample

Criterion 2

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample 1 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 1 = overall rating
Criterion 8 = overall rating

Sample 1 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Criterion 2: C+
Criterion 8: C+

Sample 1 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Criterion 2
* Discussion around not addressing all of the tasks in the Initiation or Analysis Phase.
* Attempt at answering the questions but lacks depth and identification of tasks carried out as part of a Project Lifecycle (PLC)/System Development Life Cycle (SDLC)
* Importantly, has related back to the case study

Criterion 8
No referencing
* Clear and accurate writing

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Well set out and articulated * Test criteria should be set out in a table with a "Pass/Fail" result
<p>Sample 1 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments</p>	<p>Criterion 1 Question 2: C Question 7: C+</p> <p>Criterion 8 Question 2: B Question 7: C</p>

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2

<p>Sample 2 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion</p>	<p>Criterion 1 = overall rating</p> <p>Criterion 8 = overall rating</p>
<p>Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?</p>	<p>Criterion 1: B</p> <p>Criterion 8: B</p>
<p>Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?</p>	<p>Criterion 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Is related back to TTS * No mention of Feasibility Study, Stakeholders * Detailed <p>Criterion 8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Should have used a table * Some spelling errors.
<p>Sample 2 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments</p>	<p>Criterion 1 Question 2: B Question 7: B+</p> <p>Criterion 8 Question 2: B Question 7: B</p>

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 3

<p>Sample 3 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion</p>	<p>Criterion 1 = overall rating Criterion 8 = overall rating</p>
<p>Sample 3 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?</p>	<p>Criterion 1: C- Criterion 8: C</p>
<p>Sample 3 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?</p>	<p>Criterion 1 * No mention of business case/stakeholders/feasibility study/functional specification * Hasn't really related back to TTS</p> <p>Criterion 8 * Not using terminology * No use of tables * Reasonable use of paragraphs and punctuation</p>
<p>Sample 3 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments</p>	<p>Criterion 1 Question 2: C- Question 7: C</p> <p>Criterion 8 Question 2: C Question 7: C</p>

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 4

<p>Sample 4 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion</p>	<p>Criterion 1 = overall rating Criterion 8 = overall rating</p>
<p>Sample 4 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?</p>	<p>Criterion 1: t Criterion 8: C-</p>
<p>Sample 4 - What evidence supports</p>	<p>Criterion 1 *Comments were irrelevant such as photographer</p>

<p>the rating (or ratings) the group has given?</p>	<p>* Not enough about the elements of the initiation phase</p> <p>Criterion 8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Paragraphs are reasonably well structured * Should not use first person * Informal language
<p>Sample 4 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments</p>	<p>Criterion 1</p> <p>Question 2: t</p> <p>Question 7: t</p> <p>Criterion 8</p> <p>Question 2: C-</p> <p>Question 7: C-</p>

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 5

<p>Sample 5 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion</p>	<p>Criterion 1 = All elements</p> <p>Criterion 8 = All elements</p>
<p>Sample 5 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?</p>	<p>Criterion 1: B+</p> <p>Criterion 8: B-</p>
<p>Sample 5 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?</p>	<p>Criterion 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Mention of feasibility study, project office, business case * "Consultation" good use of <p>Criterion 8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Paragraphs have too many points. One point per paragraph. * Test criteria in a table * Spelling, grammar and punctuation all correct
<p>Sample 5 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments</p>	<p>Criterion 1</p> <p>Question 2: B+</p> <p>Question 7: B-</p> <p>Criterion 8</p> <p>Question 2: B</p> <p>Question 7: B</p>

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 6

Sample 6 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 1 = All elements
Criterion 8 = All elements

Sample 6 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Criterion 1: B
Criterion 8: B

Sample 6 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Criterion 1
* Mentioned feasibility study, business case and stakeholders as well as scope for Part A
* However Part B is too brief and weak on the components of an Analysis stage
* Q7 doesn't mention stakeholders or outputs of the Analysis phase, lacked depth
* Expect more for a 20 minute question

Criterion 8
* Good use of paragraphs
* Correctly used terminology
* No spelling errors

Sample 6 - Summary of group consensus at element level with comments

Criterion 1
Question 2: B
Question 7: C+

Criterion 8
Question 2: B
Question 7: B

Planning for March Moderation 2019 - Statewide Samples

Please select all that apply

Level 3 or 4

Please enter the name and email address of the person providing

Martin Chambers

the samples:

Email

mch@hutchins.tas.edu.au

Sharing Resources

Please record any links to or details of resources that were shared, or describe any assessment strategies that were discussed.

Online collaboration

Course Support