

# 2019 March Moderation - Report



## Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:

South

AM or PM session?

PM

Which PM Meeting is this report for?

Technologies - Computer Graphics and Design Level 3

Moderation Leader Name

Kaleb Smith

Moderation Leader Email

kaleb.smith@education.tas.gov.au

Minute Keeper

Kaleb Smith

Minute Keeper Email

kaleb.smith@education.tas.gov.au

## Attendance

**Please enter the name and school for all attendees. This can be copied and pasted from the registration list sent to the Moderation Leader.**

**Apologies/absence s - please enter the names of teachers and their schools who appeared on the moderation leaders list who did not attend the meeting.**

Wayne Amos - Calvin Christian School  
Paul Baker - Guilford Young College  
Laura Barrow - Claremont College  
John mainsbridge - Hobart College  
Jan Seiler - Hobart College  
Kaleb Smith - Claremont College  
Chris Summers - Fahan School  
chris wood - Friends' School  
Kent Moore - Hutchins

Lloyd McDonald - Elizabeth College  
Dylan oswin - Rosny College

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

**Sample 1 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion**

Criterion 7 = Overall

**Sample 1 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?**

A

**Sample 1 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?**

Student had good strong answers for all questions answered apart from question 8. However the strength of their response to qu.24 held their marks up to an A standard.

**Sample 1 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Less repetition in section D question. Understanding of what is actually being asked in a question and addressing that.

**Sample 1 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.**

Solid group consensus

**Sample 1 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Teach students to unpack question in exam prep time. Look for and identify what is actually being asked. Teach students to choose appropriate questions. Build technical vocabulary with students.

## Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2

**Sample 2 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion**

Criterion 7 = Overall

**Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?**

C

**Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?**

Student presented a basic understanding of all the questions undertaken. Their section D question although worthy of a C rating lacked understanding of the actual question content.

**Sample 2 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Better interpretation of the questions. i.e. Qu.6 student explained what they would see in frame not in the timeline as asked. Student requires better use of terminology. Section D question lacked understanding and missed the point of solid modelling within the Manufacturing Industry.

**Sample 2 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.**

Overall consensus met. However, qu.6 was viewed by some teachers that the result should be a t, others believed it should at least be a C as the question was badly written.

**Sample 2 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Teach students to unpack question in exam prep time. Look for and identify what is actually being asked. Teach students to choose appropriate questions. Build technical vocabulary with students.

## Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 3

**Sample 3 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion**

Criterion 7 = Overall

**Sample 3 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?**

C-

**Sample 3 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?**

Student presented answers that are basic in information and lacking depth in vocabulary. Student had complete answers identifying and describing the requirements for the section A questions successfully, except for qu.6 where there was confusion around the question requirements.

**Sample 3 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Better interpretation of the questions to understand what is being asked. Section D question was incorrectly answered. The student incorrectly wrote about Virtual Reality, instead of Augmented Reality as stated in the question.

**Sample 3 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.**

Full group consensus achieved.

**Sample 3 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?**

Teach students to unpack question in exam prep time. Look for and identify what is actually being asked. Teach students to choose appropriate questions for student understanding. Build technical vocabulary with students.

## Planning for September Moderation 2019 - Statewide Samples

**For all courses please nominate the criteria and elements (if desired) for moderation.**

Criterion 5: Analyse and apply elements and principles of design

**State the name of the person who will be providing the samples for September moderation.**

Belinda Brannam

**Email address of the person providing the samples for September moderation**

belinda.brannam@education.tas.gov.au

## Sharing Resources

**Please record any links to or details of resources that were shared, or describe any assessment strategies that were discussed.**

There was widespread concern over the wording of some of the questions on the 2018 exam paper. There was also concern that the exam critiquing process did not pick up or address these issues.

## Course Support