

2019 March Moderation - Report



Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:

South

AM or PM session?

PM

Which PM Meeting is this report for?

HASS - Business Studies Foundation Level 2

Moderation Leader Name

Heath Watts

Moderation Leader Email

heath.watts@education.tas.gov.au

Minute Keeper

Kate Pedersen

Minute Keeper Email

kate.pedersen@education.tas.gov.au

Attendance

Please enter the name and school for all attendees. This can be copied and pasted from the registration list sent to the Moderation Leader.

Philip Knowles, Calvin Christian School
Karen Leary, Guilford Young College
Marianne Smith, Guilford Young College
Penny Strus, New Norfolk
Jayde Zeitzen, Oakwood School
Belinda Vallerine, Ronsy School
Pam Barney, Claremont College
Gill Cannell, E-School
Rod Anderson, Rosny College
Kate Pedersen, Elizabeth College
Lisa Burnett, Collegiate
Bob Schlesinger, Hobart College
Dan Brooks, Hobart college
Neesha-Marie Hartog, Hobart College
Jodie Schafferius, The Hutchins School
Heath Watts, Elizabeth college
Richard Korn, Elizabeth college

Apologies/absences - please enter the names of teachers and their schools who appeared on the moderation leaders list who

did not attend the meeting.

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample 1 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 1 = Overall

Sample 1 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

B, A (B and A range stuff)

Sample 1 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Confusing structure, language not at the right level

Sample 1 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

More concrete business terms, more clarity in descriptions

Sample 1 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

B, for above reasons

Sample 1 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Provide exemplars, provide assistance with planning and structuring the work, emphasis on vocabulary

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2

Sample 2 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 1 = Overall

Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

A, B+, A, B+

Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Strong all the way through Structured well Concise Easy to read. Addressed all of the elements clearly and outline the business environment well. Described characteristics of the ownership structure and provided examples all the way through.

Sample 2 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

N/A

Sample 2 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

A

Sample 2 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

N/A

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 3

Sample 3 - Please identify each criterion being moderated and IF SELECTED the elements within that criterion

Criterion 1 = Overall

Sample 3 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

B+, B-, B-, B

Sample 3 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Good information. Well structured, concise. Some incorrect information re: ABN
 Not as strong as previous samples. Information provided was reasonable re: economic environment, ownership structure done well, but less well than in other samples. ABN error considerable. Did not provide detail on legal structure / requirements - this section minimal, focused mainly on the legal structure. Not as much content included. Not as good as the second one

Sample 3 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

No mistakes. More legal requirements

Sample 3 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

B-

Sample 3 - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

Planning assistance. Giving students an idea of what an A looks like. Detailed marking scheme. Breaking down the different elements of the task into distinct areas. Relevant examples, assistance here

Planning for September Moderation 2019 - Statewide Samples

For all courses please nominate the criteria and elements (if desired) for moderation.

Criteria 5

Sharing Resources

Course Support

Please provide details of any future focus and ways forward you would like Curriculum Services to consider in relation to this course:

Some of the Canvas resources at a higher level than many students capability at level 2
Difficulties in engaging students in course content while addressing all the standard elements of the criteria, course content a bit dry