# 2019 September Moderation - Report



### Meeting Details

Meeting took place

North

AM or PM session?

PM

Which meeting is this report for?

HPE - Sport Science Foundation Level 2

## Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample I - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

see later notes

Sample I - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

see later notes

Sample I - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)? see later notes

Sample I - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

see later notes

Sample I - What actions would you recommend for teachers to help the student attain a higher rating (or ratings)?

see later notes

Planning for March Moderation 2020 - Statewide Samples





For all courses please nominate the criteria and elements (if desired) for moderation.

not yet known

### **Sharing Resources**

Please record any links to or details of resources that were shared, or describe any assessment strategies that were discussed. Minutes from the Sport Science Foundations - Level 2 Discussion

### Sample Work

- Wasn't a true reflection of the task description and was hard to match against rubric
- Task was reflective of what would be targeted at High School Students
- Scaffolded questions rather than creating an 800 word lab report (scientific)
- Task was prescriptive and method/process/procedure was set out for them
- Thought that the task limited the student's ability to demonstrate knowledge and understanding against criteria

#### Canvas

- Needs a review to ensure it reflects changes to the course outline
- High number of resources can be time consuming to go through
- Some links are out of date, not applicable or poor examples
- Quizzes don't always match the video and content eg. 1.23 High and low GI
- Teacher and student views when publishing quizzes etc doesn't always align

#### Other points

- How do we assist new teachers of the subject? Where to start i.e. blueprint or some instruction "To go through course outline and Canvas is only a display folder (place of reference) not followed through step by step". There is the Microsoft teams but not everyone has access to it.
- The need to have common work requirement assessment tasks to facilitate professional moderation meetings and allow for additional samples to be discussed
- Portfolio of set work requirements to see examples of what is expected





### Course Support

Please provide details of any future focus and ways forward you would like Curriculum Services to consider in relation to this course: Minutes from the Sport Science Foundations - Level 2 Discussion

#### Sample Work

- Wasn't a true reflection of the task description and was hard to match against rubric
- Task was reflective of what would be targeted at High School Students
- Scaffolded questions rather than creating an 800 word lab report (scientific)
- Task was prescriptive and method/process/procedure was set out for them
- Thought that the task limited the student's ability to demonstrate knowledge and understanding against criteria

#### Canvas

- Needs a review to ensure it reflects changes to the course outline
- High number of resources can be time consuming to go through
- Some links are out of date, not applicable or poor examples
- Quizzes don't always match the video and content eg. 1.23 High and low GI
- Teacher and student views when publishing quizzes etc doesn't always align

#### Other points

- How do we assist new teachers of the subject? Where to start i.e. blueprint or some instruction "To go through course outline and Canvas is only a display folder (place of reference) not followed through step by step". There is the microsoft teams but not everyone has access to it.
- The need to have common work requirement assessment tasks to facilitate professional moderation meetings and allow for additional samples to be discussed
- Portfolio of set work requirements to see examples of what is expected

Moderation 2020



