

2020 March Moderation - Report



Meeting Details

Meeting took place in:

North

Which meeting is this report for?

Arts - Media Production Level 3

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 1

Sample 1 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Cr1; B Cr2; B

Sample 1 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Solid coverage of Media ideas but lacking definitions and structure

Sample 1 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Definitions, clear examples and discussion relating to Media production

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 2

Sample 2 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Cr1; B Cr2; C

Sample 2 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Discussed topic in a generic and social sense but lacked a targeted Media discussion.

Sample 2 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Examples and support, codes and conventions and a technical discussion.

Sample 2 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Lower level, fairly generic response without a specialised media tone

Moderation Details for Calibration - Sample 3

Sample 3 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Cr1; B Cr2; B-

Sample 3 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Some interesting commentary on tech convergence and crowd sourcing

Sample 3 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or ratings)?

Proper case studies and support examples

Sample 3 - Summary of group consensus with comments to element level if applicable.

Panel noted that the piece appeared to be marked harder at external assessment than at internal moderation.

Moderation Details for Calibration – Level 2 – Sample 1

Level 2 – Sample 1 - What rating (or ratings) has the group assigned this sample?

Level 2 Sample (1 only) Cr1; A Cr2; A

Level 2 – Sample 1 - What evidence supports the rating (or ratings) the group has given?

Evidence

Articulate, structured, well-supported, referenced, detailed and visual.

Panel considered the piece to be of a high standard and could have been of a 3 standard.

Level 2 – Sample 1 - What evidence would you need to see in order to assign a higher rating (or

Little need for improvement, but panel inquired about the existence of a normalised pro-forma.

ratings)?

Level 2 – Sample 1 -
Summary of group
consensus with
comments to element
level if applicable.

Panel considered the piece to be of a high standard and could have been of a 3 standard.

Planning for September Moderation 2020 - Statewide Samples

For all courses please
nominate the criteria
and elements (if
desired) for
moderation.

Criteria 1. and Criteria 7

State the name of the
person who will be
providing the samples
for September
moderation.

We will assess Cr 1 and 7 for September; with news or short doco. Fiona, Darren, Chris I, Geordie will provide samples for September.

Sharing Resources

Please record any links
to or details of
resources that were
shared, or describe any
assessment strategies
that were discussed.

PL day in December was appreciated and we would enjoy more of the same.
ATOM Tas conference 26-27 Nov was flagged as a resource.
Note on shared resources on MS teams/One Note or similar.
The panel expressed concern that the write-up pro formas lacked section B for folio submission.

Course Support

Please provide details
of any future focus
and ways forward you
would like Years 9-12
Curriculum to
consider in relation to
this course:

Issues of concerned where not discussed during the subject meeting due to time factors.