PART FOUR (A) REQUEST FOR GRANT PROPOSAL FORM

Date: 15 September 2022

Rachel Scarborough Children, Youth and Families Department of Communities Tasmania 115 – 119 Cameron Street, Launceston TAS 7250

Respondent:

Ι.	I/We hereby propose to provide the services required under this Request for Grant Proposal (as indicated):		
	Under 16 Lighthouse Project – Therapeutic Residential Model of Care		
2.	I/We agree that this Proposal is lodged in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFGP.		
3.	I/We hereby offer to deliver the proposed services described in this Proposal for an amount not exceeding \$3 300 000 (GST exclusive), over a three-year period.		
4.	By signing this Proposal Form, the Respondent declares that:		
	(a) it has the capacity to deliver the services;		
	 (b) the information and particulars provided as part of the Proposal are accurate and correct; and 		

(c) the terms and conditions of the RFGP are agreed.

Signed by the Respondent or for and on behalf of the Respondent by a person who by his/her signature duly warrants his/her authority to sign

Name of Signatory (please print):

Title or Role of Signatory:

Signed in the presence of: Name of witness (block letters)

Address of witness

Occupation of witness

Name of Respondent:

Address of Respondent: (Include fax no. and telephone no.)

ABN of Respondent:

PART FOUR (B) RESPONDENT DETAILS

ALL QUESTIONS ARE MANDATORY

- I. What is the legal name of the Respondent applying for this funding?
- 2. What is the legal name of the lead Respondent?

Note: All further responses within this Application Form must relate to this entity. This is the legal entity that DCT will enter into Funding Agreements with.

- 3. Does the lead agency have a formal partnership agreement with partners/consortia? If so, please provide relevant documentation.
- 4. What is the trading name of the Respondent?

This is the name your Organisation trades under.

- 5. What is the registered physical address of the Respondent?
- 6. What is the postal address of the Respondent?

7. Is the Respondent:



8. Entity Type (please select the applicable box)

Incorporated Association	
Incorporated Cooperative	
Organisation established through specific Commonwealth or state/territory legislation (e.g. Churches, PBIs)	
Company (Incorporated under Corporations Act 2001)	
Partnership	
Trustee on behalf of a Trust	
Local Government	

9. Who are the authorised Contact Persons for this Proposal?

	Preferred Contact	Optional: Alternative Contact	
Title			
First name			
Family name			
Position in organisation			
Telephone number			
Mobile number			
Fax number			
Business email address			

10. Provide details of two referees that you authorise the Department to contact regarding your Proposal

	Referee I	Referee 2
Title		
First name		
Family name		
If applicable– Name of Organisation		
If applicable– Position in Organisation		
Telephone number		
If available - Mobile number		
<i>If available -</i> Email address		
Relationship to Respondent		

PART FOUR (D)

FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND GOVERNANCE

ALL QUESTIONS ARE MANDATORY

20. Please attach the Respondent's most recent audited Financial Statements for the past three financial years and Annual Reports if available.

Note: Respondents who have lodged these with the DCT Community Sector Relations Unit as a result of other funding provided by the Department, need not attach these reports.

21.	Please indicate if the Respondent has	Organisation chart	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
	the following: As part of the financial	Duty Statements for all positions	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
	viability verification process you may be	Financial policy and procedures (systems manual)	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
	asked to provide copies of these documents	Delegations (authorised financial delegates or decision makers)	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
		Business plan	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
		Risk management plan	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
		Minutes of board meetings	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
	Can the Respondent provide copies of these documents within 7 days of a request by the DCT?	No 🗌 Yes 🗍		
22.	Do any of the following apply to the Respondent?	Any form of current or pending litigation	No 🗌	Yes 🗌
	If the Respondent ticks Yes to any of these please provide a short	Any significant financial matter which may impact on the organisation (e.g. insolvency or voluntary administration)	No 🗌	Yes
	explanation below. Further information may be requested.	Future commitments or contingent liabilities that might materially affect the organisation	No 🗌	Yes

Comments:

23. Insurances

The Respondent must clearly identify insurance details and provide Insurance Certificates in this section (the figures included are the minimum that the Department requires).

Insurance	Insurer	Policy No.	Sum Insured	Expiry Date
Public Liability Insurance			\$20 million per claim	
Workers' compensation Insurance				
Professional Indemnity/Negligence Insurance			\$10 million per claim	
Other Insurances (please list):				

PART FOUR (E)

BUDGET DETAILS

ALL QUESTIONS ARE MANDATORY

24. Please provide a breakdown of the planned budget (GST exclusive) using the attached pro-forma.

Proposals without a budget may not be considered. (Show whole dollars only)

Where the RFGP allows you to put in a Proposal for specific elements including services or regions / areas, please complete a budget for each of these elements in the accompanying spreadsheet.

PART FOUR (F)

QUALITATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA / SELECTION CRITERIA ALL QUESTIONS ARE MANDATORY

25. Please provide a written response to each of the following questions.

Qualita	ative Criteria	Weight
•	 Understanding Describe your organisation's understanding of the need for the RFGP for Under 16 Lighthouse Project – TRMC. Including a demonstrated understanding of the target group and the Tasmanian community(s) and region, in which the proposed services are to be delivered. Demonstrate your organisation's understanding of the complexities, wellbeing and care needs that children and young people may experience when faced with or at risk of homelessness. Demonstrate your organisation's understanding of appropriate assessment and intervention relevant to the needs of the child or young person. 	Criterion I 10%
2. 5	 Service model Describe your organisation's proposed service model approach to delivering the Service Specifications. Include your organisation's proposed approach and capacity to provide collaborative and therapeutic support. Describe your organisation's capacity and capability to deliver the Specifications including its capacity and capability to deliver the therapeutic, intensive, child-cantered and trauma-informed family restoration, mediation and counselling. Describe how this proposed service model will contribute to and measure the achievement of the outcomes specified in Part Two, Section 2.4, by providing a Program Logic. Demonstrate how your organisation will achieve value for money through describing the anticipated benefits and outcomes provided in delivering the Specifications, taking into consideration the amount of funding that your organisation is provided. Describe any other services/programs your organisation provides or can provide that could link to the Under 16 Lighthouse Project – TRMC. 	Criterion 2 25%
below. Case Sce o J. c o J. a	e how your proposed service model would apply to the case scenarios enario I. J (13) has been referred to the service through the ARL after presenting unaccompanied to an SHS. J is currently involved with TYSS for support with family violence, neglect and abuse, mental health issues, suicidal behaviours and substance abuse ssues.	

- Previously, JJ hasn't needed accommodation services, however, his home life circumstances have changed and he has left the family home.
- JJ has been attending school semi-regularly, up until recently leaving the family home.
- JJ's relationship with his mother has been unsteady at times, however, JJ has identified that his stepfather is violent towards his mother and occasionally, his siblings and himself. It appears his relationship with his mother has recently broken down.
- JJ would frequently show up to his TYSS meetings hungry and unclean.
- JJ has trouble connecting with adults and other young people his age, having few close friends and connections. The friends JJ does have are a couple of years older and appear to have anti-social influences and support his substance abuse.

What strategies and approaches would you use given the brief information available?

What kind of family restoration goals would you expect to see for JJ in his Care Plan? Who would you expect to see involved in JJ's Care Team approach (both informal and formal)?

How would you engage with a range of stakeholders already engaged with JJ to ensure a collaborative and integrated service response to JJ's wellbeing needs?

What/how would you address the difficulty JJ has in connecting with adults and other children, to form healthy, pro-social relationships?

Case Scenario 2.

- $\circ~$ LG (14) has been referred to the service through the ARL and TYSS as she has been cycling in and out of different SHS.
- LG has previously suffered physical and sexual abuse from her mother's ex-partner. During her childhood, LG's mother moved house multiple times and LG moved in with her father at 13, when her mother decided to move interstate.
- LG had to leave the family home when her father (primary care giver) went to prison. She was moved to her Aunt's house, who she had not previously met.
- LG has displayed a range of difficult behaviours (including self-sabotage and severe self-harming and offending and criminal behaviour), severe trust issues and mental health issues, including depression and anxiety due to complex past trauma, abuse and neglect.
- LG has previously been disruptive at school by damaging school property and has trouble engaging with school work, frequently leaving and skipping classes.
- LG was recently asked to leave an SHS because of her difficult behaviour, destroying food provisions that were for everyone at the shelter and self-harming. She is currently couch surfing.

What strategies and approaches would you utilise given the brief information available?

What types of services would you expect you might utilise to assist LG?

What might you do if LG is displaying difficult behaviours such as self-harm and damaging property?

What kinds of goals and progressions would you expect to see in a Care Plan for LG? How would your approach to working with LG differ from that utilised for JJ?

3.	Demonstrated experience	Criterion 3 10%
	• Describe your organisation's ability to and/or its previous experience	
	in establishing and providing similar services for children and young	
	people experiencing or at risk of homelessness. Where possible,	
	provide examples and evidence of successful implementation, service	
	delivery and outcome achievements.	
	• Describe your organisation's capacity and experience in identifying and	
	responding to the changing needs of children and young people who	
	have experienced trauma and/or homelessness.	
	Describe your organisations ability to and/or previous experience in	
	working with families through mediation, counselling, parenting skills	
	and managing difficult behaviours to resolve conflicts and improve	
	family relationships.	
Maximu	m 1,000 words	
4.	Demonstrated experience in establishing and maintaining	Criterion 4
	collaborative relationships with key stakeholders to deliver	10%
	services to clients.	
•	Outline how your organisation engages with the community and other	
	stakeholders when it plans and delivers services. Including a	
	Communications Plan detailing the engagement and communication with	
	neighbours and the immediate community.	
٠	Describe your organisation's experience in working in partnership with	
	government services, other providers and stakeholders in delivering	
	similar services. Including the organisations approach to liaising with	
	Children, Youth and Families.	
•	Describe your organisation's ability and approach to working	
	collaboratively and/or previous experience in co-managing case work for	
	children and young people with complex needs with other services	
Maximu	m 1,000 words	
5.	Demonstrated ability and/or previous experience to recruit and	Criterion 5
	maintain appropriately qualified, skilled and experienced staff	10%
	and to provide for their continued professional development.	
	• Provide a brief overview of the qualifications, skills and experience of	
	your organisation's staff mix, or proposed staff mix for the proposed	
	service model. Including the staffing structure, communications plan	
	among staff and reporting procedures. Include details of the proposed	
	number of staff including their Award, levels and full-time equivalent	
	that would be utilised in delivering services in accordance with the	
	Specifications.	
	 Provide detail of professional development, support and counselling 	
	and supervision provisions.	
	 Summarise the qualifications, skills and experience of your 	
	organisation's staff, with specific reference to the program or staff	
	you will use to deliver these services. Including the ability and	
	incentives to retain staff who meet employment checks.	
Mavim		
riaximu	m 1,000 words	

6.	Demonstration of sound organisational governance and financial structures including professional practice accountability processes where this is appropriate and / or required. (5%)	Criterion 6 10%
	 Describe your organisation's ability to comply with governance structure, including processes for ensuring accountability, efficiency and effectiveness in service provision as set out in the RFGP. Outline the governance arrangements you would specifically put in place for managing and delivering the Specifications including any identified risks and mitigation strategies. Describe what strategies, reporting and other arrangements that your organisation would put into place and implement to ensure that it is contributing to the identified outcomes and achieving any specific targets associated with the Specifications. Including systems to manage funding. 	
Maximu	m 1,000 words	
7.	Compliance with the Tasmanian Government's Buy Local Policy, including provision of an Economic and Social Impact Statement that identifies opportunities for employment and training creation in disadvantaged communities.	25%
ΤΟΤΑ	L	100%

Price and Value for Money

Once the Qualitative Criteria has been assessed Respondents will then be evaluated for demonstrated value for money. Respondents will be assessed as to their ability to provide services that achieve the required outcomes at the best possible price. The lowest price may not be assessed as providing the best value for money.