

Course Review 2024

Studies of Religion Level 3

Response to feedback for newly accredited courses first delivered in 2024.

In collaboration with TASC, DECYP implemented a range of significant amendments across the suite of new courses, including [Studies of Religion Level 3](#).

The 2024 [Phase 1 Review](#) identified opportunities for improvement, with a particular focus on assessment.

Senior Secondary Provision and TASC developed a matrix to assess the scale and risk of identified issues. Courses were divided in three categories:

- High priority amendments
- Moderate priority amendments
- Lower priority amendments

Subject Matter Experts met to validate and provide feedback on the proposed solutions to identified issues (the amendments).

What we heard

The following table represents background data related to the course review:

- Number of providers offering the course in 2024
- Total number of feedback responses received
- Percentage represented by the number of feedback responses out of the total number of providers offering the course

	Providers offering the course in 2024	Feedback responses	Percentage of providers represented by feedback responses
Numbers	12	2	17%

Summary of identified issues and recommended solutions:

The following table represents:

- data extracted from the review surveys, identifying issues within this course
- recommendations submitted through the review surveys or recommendations

IDENTIFIED ISSUES	RECOMMENDED SOLUTIONS
Work requirement are uneven work length and complexity	Even word lengths and complexity between the modules: SOR Major Summative Task 4 Module 1 Module 1 - SOR 3 1 of 1 Updated with suggested version of Module 1 task with scaffold within it.
Insufficient detail in regard to the content to be covered for each of the options in Module 3	Ethics topics listed in Module 2 needs to be listed in Module 3 again for clarity
Kant and Utilitarianism philosophical approach causes confusion for the Ethics module which requires a religious ethical approach to a religious issue or issues	Remove references to the philosophical approaches in the course
Assumptions have to be made that knowledge of course terminology and concepts, principles when students describe, evaluate or analyse	Include the word knowledge in the criterion/elements: SOR 3 Criteria Rubric Section A SOR 3 Module 3 Criteria Rubric – Module 3 Section SOR 3 Criteria Rubric Section B

Course amendments:

The following amendment/s have been made to the course:

- Clarifying content provided in Module 3.
- Addition of Criterion 3 Element 4.
- Word limit range provided for Module 1, Work requirement 1 of 2

Clarifications:

The following clarification/s have been provided:

- Nil.

Recommendations:

Some feedback received was outside of the scope of this phase of the course review process. As a result, the following recommendations have been made for future consideration:

- Nil.